

應用 rep-PCR 作為院內感染 MRSA 菌株快速分型比對之研究  
Use of rep-PCR for Rapid Molecular Genotyping among Nosocomial Infection Associated  
MRSA Isolates

林怡君<sup>1</sup>, 林裕森<sup>1,2</sup>, 黃文貴<sup>2</sup>, 盧柏樑<sup>3</sup>, 陳逢歡<sup>4</sup>

Yi-Chun Lin, Yusen E. Lin, Wen-Kuei Huang, Po-Liang Lu, Feng-Jui Chen

國立高雄師範大學 環境教育研究所<sup>1</sup>, 環境檢驗中心<sup>2</sup>,

高雄醫學大學附設中和紀念醫院 微生物室<sup>3</sup>

國家衛生研究院 臨床研究組<sup>4</sup>

Nosocomial infections caused by Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) remain a global challenge for healthcare professionals. Identifying the source of MRSA is an important task to halt the nosocomial transmission. Molecular subtyping is a powerful tool for determining the source of infection by their genetic relatedness among isolates. However, conventional method, namely pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), is time consuming and requires highly skilled technicians for interpretable results. Currently repetitive-sequence-based PCR (rep-PCR) technique has been developed to an automated procedure that may provide a rapid and reliable method for molecular subtyping of MRSA. Such rapid method provides same-day genotyping results and enables infection control practitioners to timely eliminate further nosocomial MRSA transmission. Thus, the objective of this study is to use the rep-PCR for MRSA typing. Twelve distinct clinical isolates of *S. aureus* (8 MSSA, 4 MRSA), which were previously subtyped by PFGE, were used in this study. A rep-PCR assay and a capillary electrophoresis system (Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer, bioMerieux) were used for molecular analysis. We followed the standardized procedures for analysis including: (1) extract DNA from the isolated cultures; (2) amplify samples using rep-PCR and the appropriate commercially available fingerprinting kits; (3) separate fragments via electrophoresis performed in a microfluidics DNA LabChip; and (4) analyze data using dendrogram, similarity matrix, and overlay analysis. Our results showed that the 12 isolates were successfully distinct by rep-PCR method. The signal-base electrophoresis by rep-PCR provide more reproducible results than the analog image-base results by PFGE. Times to results were 6 to 8 hr for rep-PCR compared to 3 to 5 days for PFGE. Rapid, standardized results and high reproducibility make the rep-PCR a valuable tool for use in MRSA outbreak investigations.

必填資料-----

※ 論文性質：

- AM (應用微生物)     BM (基礎微生物)  
 CM (臨床微生物)     V (病毒)

※ 發表方式： Oral                     Poster

※ 發表作者：林裕森 (必須至會場，文章劃線者)

※ 作者電話：(07) 6051036                    ※手機：0926-760005

※ 作者 E-mail：easonlin@nknucc.nknu.edu.tw;

◎ 送出前請務必仔細校對。使用 Office 2007 之作者，請將檔案存為 Office 2003，以免造成無法開啟檔案。